

ASAIO Journal Publish Ahead of Print

DOI: 10.1097/MAT.0000000000001456

Antifungal Prophylaxis for Adult Recipients of Veno-Venous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation: A Cautionary Stance During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Oleg Epelbaum, MD¹, Eva M Carmona MD PhD², Scott E Evans MD³, Chadi A Hage MD⁴, Benjamin Jarrett MD⁵, Kenneth S Knox MD⁵, Andrew H Limper MD², Kelly M Pennington MD².

1. Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Westchester Medical Center, Valhalla, NY, USA
2. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
3. Department of Pulmonary Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA
4. Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA
5. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Arizona College of Medicine—Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ, USA

Corresponding Author Oleg Epelbaum, MD Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine Westchester Medical Center 100 Woods Road Macy Pavilion Room 1042 Valhalla, NY 10595 1-914-493-7518 (phone) 1-914-493-8130 (fax) oleg.epelbaum@wmchealth.org

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: None declared by any of the authors

Running Head: Antifungal prophylaxis in ECMO patients

Keywords: Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation, Coronavirus, Candida, Fungi, Prophylaxis

Word Count: 1034

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Epelbaum, O., Carmona, E. M., Evans, S. E., Hage, C. A., Jarrett, B., Knox, K. S., ... & Pennington, K. M. (2021). Antifungal Prophylaxis for Adult Recipients of Veno-Venous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation: A Cautionary Stance During the COVID-19 Pandemic. ASAIO Journal. <https://doi.org/10.1097/mat.0000000000001456>

The ongoing global pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), the agent of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has resulted in over 1 million deaths worldwide and many more cases of respiratory failure.¹ The propensity of SARS-CoV-2 to cause catastrophic pneumonia fulfilling criteria for the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) has not only strained the capacity of intensive care units (ICU) to provide mechanical ventilation but has also led to a spike in utilization of veno-venous (V-V) extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for respiratory failure not seen since the H1N1 influenza pandemic.² The explosion in the use of extracorporeal support is likely to magnify unresolved controversies surrounding the management of patients receiving ECMO. One such controversy is whether administration of prophylactic antifungal therapy reduces the incidence of *Candida* bloodstream infection (C-BSI) associated with vascular cannulation.

The concern about C-BSI in the setting of ECMO is not trivial. Together with gram negative bacilli and enterococci, *Candida* spp are among the three most common pathogens implicated in central venous catheter-related BSI (CVC-BSI) in critically ill patients.³ The impact of COVID-19 on the contribution of *Candida* to CVC-BSI in intensive care units remains to be elucidated, though such infections have likely increased during the pandemic.⁴ Although there are conflicting data on whether ECMO cannulation confers greater risk of CVC-BSI than conventional catheterization,^{5,6} routine antibacterial prophylaxis of ECMO patients was reported by 42% of participating centers in the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) in a 2011 study.⁷ Routine antifungal prophylaxis, on the other hand, was reported by a mere 2% of programs that year. By 2017, however, 47% of international respondents reported the use of antifungal prophylaxis.⁸ An impromptu survey of the authorship of this *Brief Communication*, which consists of experts who have developed clinical practice guidelines on fungal diagnostics⁹

and therapeutics¹⁰, revealed that antifungal prophylaxis of ECMO recipients is currently a common practice in the represented institutions. Given the possibility that this may be a growing phenomenon in the management of the ECMO patient, the consensus among the author group is that routine antifungal prophylaxis of the immunocompetent adult patient receiving V-V ECMO for acute respiratory failure can only be judged in the context of available indirect evidence as it has never been studied directly.

A subset of ECMO patients, namely pediatric cardiac veno-arterial (V-A) ECMO recipients¹¹ and adults on V-A ECMO following orthotopic heart transplantation¹² appear to be at especially high risk for C-BSI. Recognizing this, the ELSO Infectious Disease Task Force advocates for “cautious but aggressive” use of antifungal prophylaxis in patients deemed to be at particularly high risk, including those with compromised immunity.¹³ Of note, the only study to have assessed the impact of antifungal prophylaxis (with fluconazole) in ECMO patients was performed in the pediatric cardiac V-A ECMO population and showed a non-significant reduction in the incidence of fungemia with prophylaxis (2.4%) compared to the already low incidence in the no-prophylaxis group (4.5%).¹¹ In an unselected adult population of ECMO recipients, the prevalence of candidemia is remarkably low: 1.2% in a study of 19,697 such patients from the ELSO registry.¹⁴ Even *Candida* colonization rates of ECMO cannulas have been found to range from 0 to only 10%, and when present, *Candida* colonization is less common than Gram positive and Gram negative bacterial colonization.^{15,16} Although in aggregate bacterial isolates exceed fungal ones in ECMO patients with BSI, there has been no indication to date that even antibacterial prophylaxis reduces bacterial infection rates.^{17,18}

In evaluating the justification for routine antifungal prophylaxis of immunocompetent adult V-V ECMO recipients, it is helpful to draw on existing prophylaxis data in immunocompetent critically ill non-ECMO populations. In the seminal prospective surgical ICU study by Pelz et al, fluconazole prophylaxis significantly reduced the incidence of IC from 15.4% in the placebo arm to 8.5% in the intervention arm.¹⁹ When added to a selective digestive decontamination regimen in a mixed ICU population, fluconazole likewise significantly reduced IC incidence from 16% with placebo to 5.8% with active prophylaxis.²⁰ Despite a comparable sample size to these earlier studies, a significant reduction was not achieved in a subsequent trial of caspofungin prophylaxis limited to medical ICU patients in whom the incidence of IC in the placebo group was only 4.8%.²¹ Although much lower than expected by the study authors, the 4.8% incidence was actually higher than the 3.1% incidence of candidemia registered in a prospective cohort of 1,655 non-neutropenic mixed ICU patients.²² Returning to the question of antifungal prophylaxis during immunocompetent adult V-V ECMO use, when the cumulative incidence of C-BSI in such cases is calculated from the major available studies reporting this metric, a figure of 4.2% is obtained (Table 1). This is of relevance because aforementioned studies of critically ill populations in which the baseline incidence of candidemia or IC was in the range of 4.2-4.8% have not shown benefit of antifungal prophylaxis.

Extrapolation of the above IC incidence analysis to V-V ECMO in COVID-19 pneumonia is potentially confounded by, among other factors, the frequent provision of immunomodulatory therapy for this disease.^{27,28} Nonetheless, if the incidence of C-BSI in V-V ECMO patients is accepted to be under 5% as suggested by aggregate data, we submit that universal antifungal prophylaxis cannot be supported at the present time assuming adherence to recommended circuit maintenance protocols.¹³ This generalization may not apply to ECMO programs with outlying

C-BSI rates. Although generally well tolerated, widespread administration of antifungal agents for prolonged periods raises concerns about cost, toxicity, and selection for resistant isolates. With the ongoing SARS-CoV-2 pandemic showing no signs of abating, the current “boom” in V-V ECMO use is expected to continue as ICUs across the globe admit patients with catastrophic respiratory failure on an unprecedented scale. The transition of ECMO from an exceptional management strategy in normal times to a widely adopted rescue maneuver during a respiratory virus outbreak magnifies the importance of associated decisions such as antifungal prophylaxis. Previously an overlooked issue in intensive care medicine, antifungal prophylaxis in the ECMO recipient now merits greater attention. While challenging in many ways, current pandemic conditions may paradoxically offer a singular window of opportunity to prospectively investigate the impact of antifungal prophylaxis on C-BSI in adult patients with respiratory failure connected to V-V ECMO.

References

1. John Hopkins University of Medicine. Coronavirus Resource Center. Baltimore, MD. 2020. Available at: coronavirus.jhu.edu. Accessed November 13, 2020
2. Barbaro RP, MacLaren G, Boonstra PS, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in COVID-19: an international cohort study of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry. *Lancet* 396:1071-1078, 2020
3. Fagan RP, Edwards JR, Park BJ, Fridkin SK, Magill SS. Incidence trends in pathogen-specific central line-associated bloodstream infections in US intensive care units, 1990-2010. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 34:893-899, 2013
4. Nucci M, Barreiros G, Guimarães LF, Deriquehem VAS, Castiñeiras AC, Nouér SA. Increased incidence of candidemia in a tertiary care hospital with the COVID-19 pandemic. *Mycoses* 64:152-156, 2021
5. Hsu MS, Chiu KM, Huang YT, Kao KL, Chu SH, Liao CH. Risk factors for nosocomial infection during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *J Hosp Infect* 73:210-216, 2009
6. Burket JS, Bartlett RH, Vander Hyde K, Chenoweth CE. Nosocomial infections in adult patients undergoing extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Clin Infect Dis* 28:828-833, 1999
7. Kao LS, Fleming GM, Escamilla RJ, Lew DF, Lally KP. Antimicrobial prophylaxis and infection surveillance in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation patients: a multi-institutional survey of practice patterns. *ASAIO J* 57:231-238, 2011
8. Farrell D, MacLaren G, Schlapbach LJ. Infections on Extracorporeal Life Support in Adults and Children-A Survey of International Practice on Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 20:667-671, 2019

9. Hage CA, Carmona EM, Epelbaum O, et al. Microbiological Laboratory Testing in the Diagnosis of Fungal Infections in Pulmonary and Critical Care Practice. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 200:535-550, 2019
10. Limper AH, Knox KS, Sarosi GA, et al. An official American Thoracic Society statement: Treatment of fungal infections in adult pulmonary and critical care patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 183:96-128, 2011
11. Gardner AH, Prodhan P, Stovall SH, et al. Fungal infections and antifungal prophylaxis in pediatric cardiac extracorporeal life support. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 143:689-695, 2012
12. Tissot F, Pascual M, Hullin R, et al. Impact of targeted antifungal prophylaxis in heart transplant recipients at high risk for early invasive fungal infection. *Transplantation* 97:1192-1197, 2014
13. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) Task Force on Infectious Disease (ID) on Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation: Diagnosis, Treatment and Prevention. ELSO ID Task Force Recommendations Summary. Ann Arbor, MI. 2012. Available at: <https://www.else.org/Portals/0/Files/ELSO-ID-Task-Force-Recommendations-Summary.pdf>. Accessed November 13, 2020
14. Cavayas YA, Yusuff H, Porter R. Fungal infections in adult patients on extracorporeal life support. *Crit Care* 22:98, 2018
15. Hahne K, Horstmann C, Fischer D, Köck R, Peters G, Lebiedz P. Cannula-related infection in adult medical intensive care unit patients undergoing extracorporeal life support and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *J Hosp Infect* 91:372-374, 2015

16. Thomas G, Hraiech S, Cassir N, et al. Venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation devices-related colonisations and infections. *Ann Intensive Care* 7:111, 2017
17. O'Horo JC, Cawcutt KA, De Moraes AG, Sampathkumar P, Schears GJ. The Evidence Base for Prophylactic Antibiotics in Patients Receiving Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation. *ASAIO J* 62:6-10, 2016
18. Glater-Welt LB, Schneider JB, Zinger MM, Rosen L, Sweberg TM. Nosocomial Bloodstream Infections in Patients Receiving Extracorporeal Life Support: Variability in Prevention Practices: A Survey of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization Members. *J Intensive Care Med* 31:654-669, 2016
19. Pelz RK, Hendrix CW, Swoboda SM, et al. Double-blind placebo-controlled trial of fluconazole to prevent candidal infections in critically ill surgical patients. *Ann Surg* 233:542-548, 2001
20. Garbino J, Lew DP, Romand JA, Hugonnet S, Auckenthaler R, Pittet D. Prevention of severe Candida infections in nonneutropenic, high-risk, critically ill patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients treated by selective digestive decontamination. *Intensive Care Med* 28:1708-1717, 2002
21. Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Shoham S, Vazquez J, et al. MSG-01: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of caspofungin prophylaxis followed by preemptive therapy for invasive candidiasis in high-risk adults in the critical care setting. *Clin Infect Dis* 58:1219-1226, 2014
22. León C, Alvarez-Lerma F, Ruiz-Santana S, et al. Fungal colonization and/or infection in non-neutropenic critically ill patients: results of the EPCAN observational study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 28:233-242, 2009

23. Pieri M, Agracheva N, Fumagalli L, et al. Infections occurring in adult patients receiving mechanical circulatory support: the two-year experience of an Italian National Referral Tertiary Care Center. *Med Intensiva* 37:468-475, 2013
24. Austin DE, Kerr SJ, Al-Soufi S, et al. Nosocomial infections acquired by patients treated with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Crit Care Resusc* 19(Suppl 1):68-75, 2017
25. Kutleša M, Santini M, Krajinović V, et al. Nosocomial blood stream infections in patients treated with venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 83:493-501, 2017
26. Na SJ, Chung CR, Choi HJ, et al. Blood Stream Infection in Patients on Venovenous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Respiratory Failure. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 39:871-874, 2018
27. Riche CVW, Cassol R, Pasqualotto AC. Is the Frequency of Candidemia Increasing in COVID-19 Patients Receiving Corticosteroids?. *J Fungi (Basel)* 6:286, 2020
28. Antinori S, Bonazzetti C, Gubertini G, et al. Tocilizumab for cytokine storm syndrome in COVID-19 pneumonia: an increased risk for candidemia?. *Autoimmun Rev* 19:102564, 2020

Table 1. Summation of 5 available studies,^{16,23-26} all retrospective, of immunocompetent adult ECMO recipients from which the incidence of blood stream infection caused by *Candida* spp (C-BSI) could be derived specifically for those connected to veno-venous (V-V) support.* Included studies were either exclusively of V-V ECMO or of both V-V and veno-arterial (i.e., mixed) but from which the incidence in the V-V population could be determined separately. The incidence of C-BSI as a proportion of all BSI is also provided.

Study/Year	V-V or Mixed	N (Patients)	Incidence C-BSI (%)	C-BSI fraction of BSI (%)
Pieri 2013 ²³	Mixed	22	2/22 (9.1%)	2/4 (50%)
Austin 2017 ²⁴	Mixed	34	0/34 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Kutleša 2017 ²⁵	V-V	100	1/100 (1%)	1/35 (2.9%)
Thomas 2017 ¹⁶	V-V	103	8/103 (7.8%)	8/43 (18%)
Na 2018 ²⁶	V-V	121	5/121 (4.1%)	5/21 (23.8%)
Totals	2 Mixed, 3 V-V	380	16/380 (4.2%)	16/104 (15.4%)

*Eligible studies were obtained through a PubMed search up to the current date using the terms “extracorporeal membrane oxygenation AND fungal” as well as “extracorporeal membrane oxygenation AND *Candida*.” Search results selected for full-text review were limited to those in the English language and those of adult patients. Information needed to populate the table was abstracted from the relevant studies thus identified.